



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Appl. No.:

10/774,371

Confirmation No. 9525

Appln. Allowed: September 22, 2006

Applicant:

Y. TAKAHASHI et al.

Filed:

February 10, 2004

Title:

MAGNETIC RECORDING MEDIUM AND ITS MANUFACTURING METHOD AND MAGNETIC RECORDING SYSTEM USING SUCH A MAGNETIC

RECORDING MEDIUM

TC/AU:

1762

Examiner:

A.L. Bashore

Customer No.:

24956

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE **STATEMENT FILED FEBRUARY 10, 2004**

MAIL STOP: ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Applicants appreciate the allowance of their application.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the non-patent references filed on the PTO-1449 forms submitted with the IDS and new continuation application filed on February 10, 2004 (copies of PTO-1449 Forms are attached), for the following reasons.

Applicants refer to MPEP 609.02 - Information Disclosure Statements in Continued Examinations or Continuing Applications. The MPEP states that The

Examiner will consider information which has been considered by the Office in a parent application when examining a continuation application filed under 37 CFR 1.53(b).

Further, according to MPEP 609.04(a) II, there are exceptions to the requirement that a copy of the information must be provided. First, 37 CFR 1.98(d) states that a copy of any patent, publication, pending U.S. application, or other information listed in an information disclosure statement is not required to be provided if: (A) the information was previously cited by or submitted to, the Office in a prior application, provided that the prior application is properly identified in the IDS and is relied on for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120; and (B) the IDS submitted in the earlier application complies with 37 CFR 1.98(a)-(c). If both of these conditions are met, the examiner will consider the information previously cited or submitted to the Office and considered by the Office in a prior application relied on under 35 U.S.C. 120.

Still further, according to MPEP 609.04(a) III, if information cited or submitted in a prior application relied on under 35 U.S.C. 120 was not in English, a concise explanation of the relevance of the information to the new application is not required unless the relevance of the information differs from its relevance as explained in the prior application.

The non-patent reference documents were previously submitted during the prosecution of the parent application, U.S. Patent Application No. 10/078,399, now U.S. Patent No. 6,709,768, filed on February 21, 2002. However, the documents do not show on the PAIR system, and therefore Applicants hereby resubmit the non-patent references.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the non-patent references, initial and return a copy of the attached PTO-1449 Forms filed on February 10, 2004 that are attached.

Respectfully submitted,

John R. Mattingly

Registration No. 30,293 Attorney for Applicants

MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.

1800 Diagonal Rd. Suite 370 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (703) 684-1120

Date: December 7, 2006

		OTP E	A DE SOL								
		B	~ 5 /			1	Sheet	<u>1</u>	of <u>2</u>		
FORM PTO (REV. 7-80)		TRABEN	U.S. DEPAR PATENT AN	TMENT OF COMMERCE D TRADEMARK OFFICE	H-1027-02	SERIA	IL NO.				
L	.IST	OF DOCUMEN	TS CITED BY sheets if necess		APPLICANT Y. TAKAHASHI et al	L					
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000			FILING DATE February 10, 2004	GROU 1773					
				U.S. PATENT	DOCUMENTS						
* EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT	DATE	NAME		CLASS			DATE ropriate)		
	AA	5,738,927	04/1998	Nakamura et a	1						
	АВ	6,080,476	06/2000	Kanbe et al							
	AC 5,815,343 09/1998 Ishikawa et AD 6,168,861 01/2001 Chen et al			Ishikawa et a	1						
				Chen et al							
	AE	5,939,202 08/1999		Ataka et al							
	AF										
	AG										
	АН							-			
	Al										
	AJ										
	AK										
	•			FOREIGN PATE	NT DOCUMENTS						
		DOCUMENT	DATE		COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS		LATION		
7		10-269548	10/9/98	Japan				YES	NO		
	AL	<u> </u>		- -					一		
	AM										
	AO								Ē		
	AP										
:	1		OTHER DOCU	JMENTS (Including Au	thor, Title, Date, Pertinent F	Pages, etc.)			1		
					FIC MATERIALS, 152						
	AR	addition on the magnetic and crystallographic properties of Co-Cr-Pt thin-film media", A. Ishikawa et al, pp. 265-273.									
		Nakata	Nakatani, Y. and Hayashi, N., IEEE Trans. Mag., 34(4), 1998, 1618-1620.								
	AS					,					
	АТ				, G., Yen, B., Taka Mag., 37(4), 2001,			ullert	on,		
EXAMINER				10	DATE CONSIDERED						

FORM PTO-1449 (REV. 7-80)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		FICE H-1027-02							
	ICT	OF DOCUMENT	e cited by An	DUCANT	APPLICANT	- 7					
LIST OF DOCUMENTS CITED (Use several sheets if ned					Y. TAKAHASHI et FILING DATE	аı	GROUP				
					2/10/04		1773				
				U.S. PATEN	T DOCUMENTS						
* EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT	DATE		NAME	С	LASS	SUBCLASS	FILING (If Appr		
	AA										
	АВ										
	AC										
	AD										
	AE										
	AF										
	AG										
	AH										
	AI										
	AK										
	1,		1	EODEIGN DAT	ENT DOCUMENTS	1					
				TOKEIGH FATI		- γ			TRANS	LATION	
		DOCUMENT	DATE		COUNTRY		LASS	SUBCLASS	YES	NO	
	AL	le i									
	AM										
	AN										
	AO	****									
	AP		OTHER DOCUM	FNTS (Including A	uthor, Title, Date, Pertiner	nt Pages	etc.)				
					S., Howard, J.,			Pov		nd.	
	AR				, 37(4), 2001, 13			., KOy, 2			
		Vamanal	a K Hama	moto T Na	kano V and Miur	а М		Trane '	Mag		
	AS Yamanaka, K., Hamamoto, T., Nakano, Y. and Miura, M., IEEE Trans. Mag., 37(4), 2001, 1599-1601.										
	V	-									
	АТ		Futamoto, M., Honda, Y., Hirayama, Y., Itoh, K., Ide, H., and Maruyama, Y., IEEE Trans. Mag., 32(5), 1996, 3789-3794.								
EXAMINER					DATE CONSIDERED						
* EXAMINE next communicate			d, whether or not citation	is in conformance with MPE	P 609; Draw line through citation if not	in conforma	nce and not c	onsidered. Includ	e copy of th	is form with	